



TOWN OF JEROME

POST OFFICE BOX 335, JEROME, ARIZONA 86331
(928) 634-7943

DRB Resolution 2021-14 **Approving Preliminary Design Review for a house**

WHEREAS the Town of Jerome has received an application from Keith Lazaro for preliminary and final design review approval to construct an approximately 1,400-square-foot house at 224 Fourth Street (APN 401-07-022); and

WHEREAS on June 7, 2021, the applicant was not able to attend the Design Review Board meeting and the application was tabled to July 6, 2021; and

WHEREAS the property is in the R1-5 zoning district; and

WHEREAS the Design Review Board has determined that a public hearing is not necessary under Zoning Ordinance Section 304.F.5.; and

WHEREAS the Design Review process is intended to promote and preserve Jerome's economic and environmental well-being and preserve its distinctive character, natural attractiveness, and overall architectural quality, all of which contribute substantially to its viability as a recreational and tourist center and to its designation as a National Historic Landmark, and

WHEREAS the Design Review Board has carefully reviewed the applicants' proposal and finds that the applicable review criteria and procedures have been satisfied:

- a. PROPORTION – The relationship of the width of building or structure to its height shall be visually compatible to buildings, structures and places to which it is visually related.
- b. OPENINGS – The relationship of the width of the windows and doors, to height of windows and doors in a building shall be visually compatible with buildings, structures, and places to which the building is visually related.
- c. PATTERN – The relationship of solids to voids in the facade of a building or structure shall be visually compatible with buildings, structures and places to which it is visually related.
- d. SPACING – The relationship of buildings or structure to the open space between it and adjoining buildings shall be visually compatible to the buildings, structures, and places to which it is visually related.
- e. ENTRANCES, PORCHES, DECKS AND PROJECTIONS – The height, projection, supports, and relationship to streets and sidewalks, of entrances, porches, decks, awnings, canopies, and balconies of a building shall be visually compatible to the buildings, structures, and places to which it is visually related.
- f. MATERIALS, TEXTURE AND COLOR – The materials, texture, and color of the facade of a building or structure, shall be visually compatible with the predominant materials, textures, and color used in the building and structures to which it is visually related.
- g. ROOFS – The roof shape of a building shall be visually compatible with the buildings to which it is visually related.
- h. ARCHITECTURAL DETAILS – Doors, windows, eaves, cornices, and other architectural details of a building or structure shall be visually compatible with buildings and structures to which it is visually related.
- i. ACCESSORY BUILDINGS - Garages, carports and sheds shall be visually compatible with buildings, structures, and places to which they are visually related.
- j. ACCESSORY FEATURES – Fences, walkways, decks, stairways, lighting, antenna and other manmade structures shall be visually compatible with buildings, structures, and places to which they are visually related.
- k. LANDSCAPING – Landscaping shall be visually compatible with the landscaping around the buildings, structures, and places to which it is visually related.

DRB RESOLUTION NO. 2021-14

- I. SCREENING – The proposed addition, alteration or other changes shall be screened with appropriate materials and in an appropriate design so as to be visually compatible with related properties, when, in the opinion of the Design Review Board, all other means of assuring visual compatibility are not reasonably possible.
- m. The Design Review Board shall review a submitted application for Approval of the Demolition, Partial Demolition, or Removal of Existing Buildings or Structures, and shall have the power to approve, conditionally approve, or disapprove, all such requests, in accordance with the following procedures and criteria.
 - 1. In passing on an application for demolition, partial demolition, or removal, the Design Review Board shall consider, among other things, the architectural or aesthetic quality or significance of the building or structure to the public interests of the Town.
 - 2. If the Design Review Board finds that the preservation and protection of historic places and the public interest will best be served by postponing the demolition, partial demolition, or removal of a building or structure, it may postpone such action for a designated period, which shall not exceed one hundred eighty (180) days from the receipt of the application and shall notify the applicant of such postponement. Within the period of postponement such demolition or alteration of any building, the Design Review Board shall take steps to ascertain what the Town Council can or may do to preserve such building, including consultation with private civic groups, interested private citizens and other public boards or agencies and including investigation of the potential use of the power of eminent domain when the preservation of a given building is clearly in the interest of the general welfare of the community and of certain historic and architectural significance. The Design Review Board shall then make such recommendations thereabout to the Town Council as the Board may determine to submit.


NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Design Review Board of the Town of Jerome, Arizona, that the preliminary and final design for 224 Fourth Street is hereby approved, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. **Expiration of Approval** – this approval shall become null and void if a building permit is not issued within six (6) months of final Design Review Board approval of this application. If necessary, the applicants may request an extension by the approval body, if the extension is submitted prior to approval expiration.
- 2. **Appeal** – Any applicant who is aggrieved by the Design Review Board decision may petition the Mayor or Council for a review within thirty (30) days of the decision. Questions of aesthetics or design standards are not appealable to the Mayor and Council but may be presented to a Court of Record within thirty (30) days of the decision. Additionally, if in the opinion of the Zoning Administrator a decision is not in conformance with the Zoning Code or Comprehensive plan, the Zoning Administrator may request a review by the Mayor and Council within thirty (30) days. By specific motion during an official meeting, the Mayor and Council may refuse to consider a request for review brought by the Zoning Administrator. Finally, the Mayor and Council shall maintain the right to review all decisions of the Design Review Board.


ADOPTED AND APPROVED by a majority vote of the Design Review Board on the 6th day of July 2021.

ATTEST:

APPROVED:



Rosa Cays, Deputy Town Clerk



Tyler Christensen, Chair